UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOC	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/664,819 09/17/2003 Andrzej Strak TRSE121	566 · 3439		
26389 7590 07/03/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800	PADEN, CAROLYN A		
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347	T PAPER NUMBER		
1761			
MAIL DA	TE DELIVERY MODE		
07/03/20			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/664,819	STRAK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SH WHII - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u>lay 2007</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•		ts is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-33 is/are pending in the	application.	•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-33 is/are rejected.			
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 12		
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	·	· · · · · · ·	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	· ·	received in this National Stage)
*	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot	received.	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1761

Applicants' response to the last office action is sufficient to overcome the prior art rejection over Sasaki and Hanson.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly (3,897,573) in view of Mahon (3,036,923).

Kelly discloses salting fillets of fish and then coating the salted fillets with a binder made of comminuted fish muscle mixed with salt and phosphate and forming a molded, coated fish fillet (see abstract). In example 1 the coated fish is frozen and then smoked at 86F for about 6 hours and then re-frozen. The claims appear to differ from Kelly in the recitation of the use of phosphate in step a). Mahon teaches the advantages of using sodium and potassium polyphosphates to improve the taste and shelf life of haddock. Table 1 shows the various test solutions. In Table V the salt and phosphate dips are combined. The treatment time is shown at column 3, lines 6-8 to be at 2 minutes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the dip of Mahon in place

Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

of the salt of Kelly to improve the taste and yield of the Kelly fish products. It is appreciated that the treatment temperature is not mentioned but it is well known in the art the cool temperatures act the extent the shelf life of fish products. It is also appreciated that the extent of phosphate and salt in the final product are not mentioned but no unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the salt and phosphate in the fish product of Kelly in view of Mahon and the extent salt and phosphate in the fish of the process of the claims. To the extent that the binder in Kelly is based upon fish meat, it is considered to be a surimi-based binder. It is appreciated that the orientation of the seafood portions are not mentioned but no unobvious or unexpected result is seen from this feature. It is also appreciated that the size and shape of the seafood pieces are not mentioned but to prepare portions of seafood at a consumable size would have been an obvious way to prepare the seafood. It is finally appreciated that the heat treatment time and temperature of claim 19 is not mentioned but no unobvious or unexpected result is seen from the extent of heat treatment in Kelly. Kelly provides from varying conditions of smoking the fish at column 3, lines 46-47.

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly in view of Mahon as applied to claims 1-5, 7-15 and 21-33 above, and further in view of Chang (4,411,917).

The claim appears to differ from Kelly in view of Mahon in the recitation of the use of tetra sodium pyrophosphate in fish. Chang teaches that tetra sodium pyrophosphate is well known in the art as a phosphate source for use in fish. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the phosphate of Chang in the fish of Kelly in view of Mahon as an obvious phosphate source.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Art Unit: 1761

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks, can be reached on (571) 272-1401 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 1761

Page 6

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN

IMARY EXAMINER 6.29-0-